Fuse or Refuse ?

Paulo Torres Consultant Orthopaedic Surgeon Darlington Memorial Hospital

Is Ankle Arthrodesis the Future?







And you think you're overworked...





But.....

- Ankle more forgiving joint to fuse?
- Better option for young, high demand patient?

Who?

- Young, 20 to 60
- High demand
- Significant deformity
- Previous infection
- Failed TAR

When?

- Failure to respond to conservative measures
 - Education and reassurance
 - Analgesia
 - More supportive footwear
 - Bracing
 - Improved control of inflammatory arthropathy
 - Steroid injections no good evidence base
 - Hyaluronan injections no good evidence base
- Affecting work, daily activities and sleep
- Radiographic ± arthroscopic evidence of advanced degenerative changes

Consider

- Arthroscopic debridement
 - If joint reasonably preserved
 - Impingement
 - Synovial hypertrophy
 - bony spurs/osteophytes
 - Loose bodies



Arthroscopic debridement for impingement had a 75% success rate at 5 years in the presence of spurs, but only 50% with loss of joint space (Tol et al 2001).

Consider

- Periarticular osteotomies
- Distraction arthroplasty







- Articulated Ilizarov frame across the ankle with a distraction force.
- requires complex apparatus and specialised staff
- Weightbearing radiographs suggest that joint cartilage thickness is regenerated

Improvement in pain and movement have been reported (Marijnissen et al 2003)

Tellisi (2009) reported 23 patients, distraction was applied for 12 weeks. At a mean of 30 months follow-up the mean AOFAS score was 74/100. Pain scores improved in 21/23 patients and there was some improvement in SF-36 scores but this did not reach statistical significance. Patients with pre-operative equinus gained dorsiflexion, but the average range of movement of the group overall did not change.

Ankle Arthrodesis

- Open
 - Approach
 - Anterior
 - Posterior
 - Lateral ± short medial arthrotomy
 - Excise lateral malleolus
 - Morcellise for bone graft
 - Cuts
 - Flat
 - Chevron
 - Anatomical

• Arthroscopic

- Becoming standard technique
- Plantigrade, 5° valgus, 5-10° external rotation





Results of Open Arthrodesis

- A study of 17 patients 20 years after ankle fusion (Fuchs et al 2003)
- reduced SF-36 pain, physical functioning and emotional disturbance scores
- Most patients wore customised footwear, but few had walking aids
- All but one had returned to work
- There was significant progressive OA in the other hindfoot (Subtalar and Talo-Navicular) joints in >50%.
- The surgery included more external fixation than would be expected in a current population

Results of Open Arthrodesis

(Buchner et al 2003)

- 48 patients
- little or no pain or restriction of activity in 92% of patients at an average of 9.3 years follow-up

An independent study of fusion in OA (Anderson et al 2002)

• true fusion rate was 80-89%

In rheumatoid disease, Felix et al (1998)

- union in 96% of 26 ankles at 2-8 year follow-up
- no pain

Results of Arthroscopic Arthrodesis

>15 series reported

• Winson (2005)

- 118 reported
- 105 were followed clinically for a mean of 65m
- 109/118 procedures fused at a mean of 12weeks
- Most non-unions happened early in the series
- subsequently all patients immobilised for a minimum of 12/52
- Smoking was twice as common in non-unions
- 3 superficial and 1 deep infection
- 1 malunion
- 2pulmonary emboli
- recommended arthroscopic fusion even if the ankle was in valgus or varus provided the forefoot was plantigrade.

Results of Arthroscopic Arthrodesis

- Gougiolias (2007)
 - mortise deformity and the foot was not plantigrade, by excising bone from the mortise arthroscopically to align the ankle
 - 30/78 patients had a pre-operative coronal deformity of >15deg
 - none had more than 3deg post-operatively
 - 5 patients had simultaneous arthroscopic subtalar fusion
 - 5 delayed unions
 - 2 non-unions
 - time to union was 2months extra in smokers
 - 1 PE, 1 nerve injury and 6 patients had subtalar pain

Results of Arthroscopic Arthrodesis

Overall \pm 550 arthroscopic arthrodeses reported

- total fusion rate of 93.3%
- all series diagnosed union on plain radiography rather than CT
- Myerson (1996) mean time of fusion of 8.7 weeks in arthroscopic versus 14.5 weeks in open arthrodeses
- Nielsen (2008) 90% of arthroscopic and 57% of open fusions were united at 12 weeks.

Arthrodesis vs. Arthroplasty

Ideally compare patients with

- No major deformity
- No infection
- No significant bone loss
- No neuropathy



There are no RCT's comparing patients in whom both AA and TAR would be an option

Arthrodesis vs. Arthroplasty

Saltzmann (2009, 2010)

- FDA approved study
- STAR TAR or open AA through a fibular osteotomy with screw fixation
- Buechel-Pappas ankle score was main outcome measure improved by
 - 40 points for the STAR
 - 26 points for the fusions
 - mainly accounted for by significant improvements in most of the functional scores
 - no significant difference in pain relief or patient satisfaction
 - more intra-operative problems, nerve injuries and wound problems in the STAR group
 - similar infection rates
 - union rate in the fusions was 64/66
 - 7/415 loose replacements at 24 months , 5 revisions.
 - At 4 years the clinical results of TAR were similar to those of fusion
 - TAR better pain relief and
 - more postoperative complications that required surgery.

Arthrodesis vs. Arthroplasty

A systematic review of the literature by Haddad et al (2007)

- overall reported results of both procedures similar
- 70% satisfactory results after both procedures
- non-union rate for ankle fusion was 10%
- arthroplasty survival rate 77% at 10 years
- more variation in results for arthroplasty.

Kinematics and Gait

- AA affects ROM and Kinematics more than TAR (Valderrabano et al 2004a,b)
- Gait analysis is closer to normal in TAR (Butcher 2004, Piriou 2008)
- TAR should place less stress on adjacent joints

Clinical confirmation required

Kinematics and Gait

Naal (2009)

- 2/3 of 101 TAR patients were active in sports 4 years after ankle replacements
 - swimming, cycling, fitness training and downhill skiing
 - average of 4.4hr/week
 - 35% had radiolucencies around the tibial implant but this did not affect the likelihood of sports activity

Finally

- Not enough data to say whether TAR or AA better for patients in whom either procedure would be an option
- At 10 years clinical success rates appear similar
- TAR probably has a small functional advantage
- But
 - TAR failure rates vary widely
 - TAR has a much higher rate of secondary surgery

Finally

- Does the onset of OA in adjacent joints reduce the success of AA after 10 years?
- Do the improved kinematics of TAR reduce wear on adjacent joints
- No comparative studies yet.....



JM

- 69. Retired man
- Severe pain and deformity left ankle
 - Rest pain, pain at night
 - Affecting daily life
- Injury to ankle 30 years ago
- O/E:
 - Varus hindfoot deformity
 - Antero-medial tenderness
 - Dorsi flex 10° plantar flex 15°. Normal subtalar movements



Intra-op





2/12 post op



JT

- 86, nun
- Very active with gardening, walking etc
- Severe pain at rest
- Declined offer of TAR

Pre-op





Post-op 4/12





DF

- 66. Self-employed. Fit and well
- Long history of pain right ankle
 - Pain on weight bearing, walking, rest pain and night pain
- Injury to ankle 20 years ago.
- O/E:
 - Medial/anteromedial tenderness
 - Dorsi flex 10° plantar flex 25°. Normal subtalar movements

Pre-op





Intra-op





Post-op





PF

- 53. Labourer. Fit and well
- 3 year history pain right ankle
 - Severe, at rest, difficulty working
- Injury to ankle in 20s.
- O/E:
 - Hindfoot varus
 - Globally tender
 - Dorsi flex 5° plantar flex 15°. Normal subtalar movements





Intra-op





Post-op 2/12





PH

- 47. Forklift truck driver. Fit and well
- Worsening pain right ankle
 - Constant pain at rest and nocturnal symptoms
- No known injury
- EUA Lateral instability
- Arthroscopy multiple full thickness chondral defects
- O/E:
 - Globally tender
 - Dorsi flex 10° plantar flex 10°. Normal subtalar movements

Pre-op



Intra-op





Acknowledgements

• Thanks to Matthew Mawdsley ST3 Darlington for placing the author's cases onto power point slides.

References

- Akagi S, Sugano H, Ogawa R. The long-term results of ankle joint synovectomy for rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Rheumatol. 1997 May;16(3):284-90
- Anderson T et al. Arthrodesis of the ankle for non-inflammatory conditions: healing and reliability of outcome measurements. FAI 2002;23:390-3
- Buchner M et al. Ankle fusion attributable to post-traumatic arthritis: a long-term follow-up of 48 patients. CORR 2003;406:155-64
- Buechel FF et al. Twenty-year evaluation of cementless mobile-bearing total ankle replacements. CORR 2004;424:19-26
- Butcher CK et al. Gait analysis of patients with ankle replacements. Presented at BOFSS scientific meeting 2004
- Cohen MM et al. Safety and efficacy of intra-articular sodium hyluronate (Hyalgan) in a randomised, double-blind study for osteoarthritis of the ankle. Foot Ankle Int 2008; 29:657-63
- Felix NA, et al. Ankle arthrodesis in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Clin Orthop (1998); (349): 58-64.
- Fuchs S et al. Quality of life 20 years after fusion of the ankle. JBJS 2003; 85B:994-8
- Glick JM et al. Ankle arthrodesis using an arthroscopic method: long-term follow-up of 34 cases. Arthroscopy 1996;12(4):428-34
- Haddad SL et al. Intermediate and long-term outcomes of total ankle arthroplasty and ankle arthrodesis. JBJS 2007; 89A:1899-1905

References

- Karatosun V et al. Intra-articular hyaluronic acid compared to exercise therapy in osteoarthritis of the ankle. A prospective randomized trial with long-term follow-up. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2008; 26:288-94
- Marijnissen AC, et al. Clinical benefit of joint distraction in the treatment of ankle osteoarthritis. Foot Ankle Clin (2003); 8(2): 335-46
- Ogilvie-HarrisDJ, Sekyi-Otu A. Arthroscopic debridement for the osteoarthritic ankle. Arthroscopy 1995;11:433-6
- Nakamura H, Tanaka H, Yoshino S. Long-term results of multiple synovectomy for patients with refractory rheumatoid arthritis. Effects on disease activity and radiological progression. Clin Exp Rheumatol. 2004 Mar-Apr;22(2):151-7
- O'Brien TS et al. Ankle arthrodesis using an arthroscopic method: long-term follow-up of 34 cases. Arthroscopy. 1996 Aug;12(4):428-34
- Salk RS et al. Sodium hyluronate in the treatment of osteoarthritis of the ankle: a controlled, randomised, double-blind pilot study. JBJS 2006; 88:295-302
- Tol JL et al. Arthroscopic treatment of anterior impingement in the ankle. JBJS 2001;83B:9-13
- Valderrabano V et al. Kinematic changes after fusion and total replacement of the ankle: Part 1: range of motion. FAI 2003;24:881-7
- Valderrabano V et al. Kinematic changes after fusion and total replacement of the ankle: Part 2: movement transfer. FAI 2003;24:888-96

References

- van der Zant FM, Jahangier ZN, Moolenburgh JD, van der Zee W, Boer RO, Jacobs JW. Radiation synovectomy of the ankle with 75 MBq colloidal 186rhenium-sulfide: effect, leakage, and radiation considerations. J Rheumatol. 2004 May;31(5):896-901
- Wood PLR, Deakin S. Total ankle replacement: the results in 200 ankles. JBJS 2003;85B:334-41
- Wood PLR et al. Ankle replacement. Medium term results in 200 Scandinavian total ankle replacements. JBJS 2008; 90B:605-9
- Foot and Ankle Hyperbook. East Lancashire. Jim Barrie, Aamir Zubairy and Richard Freeman