Tribology and Biotribology

Dr Tom Joyce Reader in Biotribology Newcastle University 25th October 2010

Overview of lecture



- Tribology and biotribology
- Fundamentals of friction, wear and lubrication
- Focus on total hip replacement (THR)
- Metal-on-Polyethylene THR
- Metal-on-Metal THR
- Ceramic-on-Ceramic THR
- Compliant layer THR
- Research at Newcastle

Tribology fundamentals

Jin et al, *Biotribology*, Current Orthopaedics, 2006, 20, 1, 32-40 Joyce, *Biopolymer Tribology*, in *Polymer Tribology*, Imperial College Press, 2009, 227-266

Definition of tribology

- Tribology, from the Greek *tribos* 'to rub'
- The science of interacting surfaces in relative motion, including friction, lubrication and wear
- Biotribology is this science related to the body
- Primarily synovial joints and replacement joints



Friction (1)

- Friction force is a resistance to motion
- With no lubricant:
- > Friction force is proportional to normal force $F = \mu N$
- Friction is independent of velocity
- Friction is independent of apparent contact area
- Friction is dependent on real contact area (1 to 0.0001% of apparent contact area)





Wear(1)

- Wear is the progressive loss of material from a surface. Various wear regimes:
- > Adhesive due to bonding
- > Abrasive due to hard asperities
- Fatigue due to cyclic stresses
- Erosive due to relative motion with a fluid containing hard particles
- Corrosive due to chemical reactions May occur singly or in combination

Wear (2)

- Wear can be measured as a depth, but volume is much better
- Generally wear volumes:
- Increase with load
- Increase with sliding distance
- Increase with surface roughness
- Decrease with surface hardness
- However, many other factors can be involved in the wear process

(Archard) Wear Equation

- Volume loss (mm³) = Wear factor k (mm³/Nm) x Load (N) x Sliding distance (m)
- Volume loss is proportional to load and sliding distance
- Arc length = Radius x θ
- So if we compare an implanted 22mm diameter Charnley THR with a 54mm diameter Birmingham Hip Resurfacing, what might we expect?



Adds a fluid film to separate surfaces

Lubrication regimes



Indicated by lambda

- Hydrodynamic lubrication ($\lambda > 3$)
- Mixed lubrication
- Boundary lubrication
- Hydrodynamic lubrication ($\lambda > 3$) is to be preferred

Calculation of lubrication regimes



- If roughness (R_a) increases, lambda decreases - lubrication gets worse
- R_{a1} and R_{a2} are the surface roughness values of each component, h_{min} is the minimum effective film thickness, R_x is the equivalent radius (m), η is the viscosity of the lubricant (Pa s), u is the entraining velocity (m/s), E* is the equivalent elastic modulus (Pa), and w is the load (N)

Surface roughness and lubrication



- Typical metal-onpolymer joint, polymer relatively rough
- Metal-on-metal joint under typical mixed lubrication
- Resurfacing metal-onmetal joint. Fluid film lubrication possible

Different types of hip prostheses



- 22mm diameter stainless steel head: polished to better than 0.050µm Ra
- Initially a low friction PTFE cup which wore quickly
- UHMWPE acetabular cup: roughness of 1.29µm Ra, radial clearance 0.2mm

Lancet 2007

M The operation of the century: total hip replacement

- Total hip replacement 'Greatest success in orthopaedics of the 20th Century'
- Cost-effective procedure, returning patients to pain-free independence

THR failure due to osteolysis

- UHMWPE wear particles
- Volume: > 550mm³ joint comes loose
- Size: majority in a range of 0.1-0.5µm
- Numbers: half a million particles at each step
- Provoke negative cascade of responses
- Loose prosthesis, radiolucent zones on X-ray, pain for the patient

Therefore minimise the wear

Improved polyethylenes



- Cross-linked polyethylene (XLPE)
- Clinical and in vitro trials suggest 50-80% reduction in wear
- 'Familiarity' for orthopaedic surgeons
- Polyethylenes are more 'forgiving' to malposition



Metal-on-Metal (MoM) THR

- 100 fold reduction in wear claimed compared with Metal-on-Poly
- Volumetric wear was reduced
- But particle size was smaller, typically 1nm rather than 1µm for UHMWPE
- Actual numbers of CoCrMo particles higher than UHMWPE
- Potential danger from metal particles?
- In US, 35% of THR were MoM (Bozic, 2009, JBJS)

MoM resurfacing THR

- 46% patients under 55 years of age have a resurfacing implant (Steffen, JBJS, 2008)
- But since then the number of resurfacing operations has declined
- 'Pseudotumours' (Pandit et al JBJS 2008)
- Different resurfacing designs give different results





Ceramic-on-ceramic THR



- Femoral head and acetabular cup made of hard ceramic material
- Potential benefits low wear
- Brittleness was a concern
- Fracture rates now less than 0.1%
- Squeaking?
- Expensive

Summary of key biotribological factors in THR

- Wear of PE leads to osteolysis and revision operations
- So reduce the wear
- Increase hardness: metal-on-metal, ceramicon-ceramic
- Reduce surface roughness and maintain it
- Move from boundary to fluid film lubrication increase head diameter, reduce surface roughness and radial clearance between head and cup

Compliant layer THR

- Based on a concept of mimicking the superb natural joint with its compliant articular cartilage
- Polyurethane as the 'cartilage'
- Low friction and wear during motion
- But at 'start up'?
- Now in human trials



Research at Newcastle

Metal-on-Metal (MoM) Hip Resurfacing DePuy ASR™



Suitable 'for young and active patients with arthritis'



The effect of component size and orientation on the concentrations of metal ions after resurfacing arthroplasty of the hip

Increased concentrations of metal ions after metal-on-metal resurfacing arthroplasty of the hip remain a concern. Althrough there has been no proven link to long-term health problems or early prosthetic failure, variables associated with hip metal ion concentrations should be identified and, if possible, corrected. Our study provides data on metal ion levels from a series of 76 concentive patients (76 hips) after stratificity and the provides data on metal ion levels from a series of 76 concentive patients (76 hips) after stratificity and the provides data on metal ion levels from a series of 76 concentive patients (76 hips) after stratificity and the provides data on metal ion levels from a series of 76 concentive patient (76 hips) after stratificity and the provides data on the hipse that in the whole blood of patients with stratificity (51 nm) ference alcongonests were significantly hipse than in through the strationary of the actuality accomponent. The same relationships were not significant in the patients, with actuality is establish and the patients with actuality is establish and the patients with actual to a strationary of the actuality accomponent intre-operatively is establish and order to reduce the concentration of metal lons in the blood after hip resurfacing arthroplasty with the Articular Surface Replacement intermoder to the strate of the results of the strate of the results of the strate of the results of the strate of the strate of the hip estings after hip results with the Articular Surface Replacement intermoder of the strate of the str

JBJS (UK) Sept 2008, 1143-1151

For DePuy ASR™ ion concentrations linked to acetabular cup size and position



differences in clinical results



Early failure of metal-on-metal bearings in hip resurfacing and large-diameter total hip replacement

A CONSEQUENCE OF EXCESS WEAR JBJS (UK) Jan 2010



weastle University.

Early failure associated with odverse reactions to metal debris is an enverging problem after hip resurfacing but the exact mechanism is unclear. We analysed our entire series of 600 metal -on-metal resurfacings (Articular Surface Replacement (JASR) and Birmingham Hip Resurfacing (BHR)) and large-bearing ASR total hip replacements, to establish associations with metal debris-interleta failures. Clinical and radiological outcomest, metal ion levels, with metal debris-related failures. Clinical and radiological outcomes, metal lon levels, explant studies and hymphocyt transformation tests were performed. A total of 17 prients (3.4%) were identified (all ASR bearings) with adverse reactions to metal debris, for which revision was required. This group had significantly snaller components, significantly higher eatebular component enterversion, and significantly kngler whole concentrations of blood and joint chromium and obalt ions than asymptomatic patients did (all p < 0.001). Post-revision lymphocyt transformation tests on this group showd on oreactivity to chromium or cobalt ions. Explants from these revisions had greater surface wear than retrievals for uncomplicated fractures. The absence of adverse reactions to metal debris in patients with well-positioned implants usually implies high component wear.

Adverse Reaction to Metal Debris (ARMD) - an umbrella term to describe joint failures associated with pain, a large sterile effusion of the hip and/or macroscopic necrosis/metallosis

Measurement of surface roughness

- ZYGO NewView non-contacting profilometer
- Typical changes 15nm to 100nm Ra
- Results in change from fluid film to boundary lubrication. Wear occurs over large sliding distance



J Joyce ¹⁺ , D J La	ngton ² , S S Jameson ² , and AVF Nargol ²
Centre for Rehal	illitation and Engineering Studies, School of Mechanical and Systems Engineering, Newcastle
University, News	assile upon Tyne. UK
Joint Replaceme	nt Unit, University Hospital of North Tees, Hardwick, Stockton-on-Tees, UK
The manuscript 1	va received on 21 July 2008 and was accepted after revision for publication on 8 January 2009.
DOI: 10.1243/135	065011ET484
Abstrace replace resurfac standin, can con few suc cohort, that rou tion reg increase whom t failure o	It Metal-on-metal resurfacing hip prostheses offer potential benefits over total hip ment for younger and more active patients. Although some reported clinical results of the physical searce excellent, other ourcomes are less politive. To al dwith under-the balance of benefits related to these devices, analysis of failed resurfacing prostheses with the other activation of the physical searce of the sea
Keywor	ds: metal-on-metal, cobalt chrome, hip resurfacing, hip prostheses, explant

Measurement of wear

- Wear is a volume
- Co-ordinate Measuring Machine (CMM) recommended by international standards for measurement of wear in hip prostheses (ISO14242-2)
- State-of-the-art LEGEX 322 has an accuracy of 0.8µm





ARMD ASR[™] femoral head





- Female patient, ASR[™], failure at 35 months
- 45.5mm diameter, inclination 60°, anteversion 31°: Co 32.2µg/L, Cr 22.0µg/L
- Red area shows at least 20µm of wear depth, wear volume from head 20.2mm³

ASR™ femoral head – AVN failure





ARMD ASR™ head late fracture





- 64 yr old male, femoral fracture at 4 years
- 50.5mm dia, inclination 59°, anteversion 31°
- Red area shows at least 20µm of wear, wear volume from head 134mm³

Research at Newcastle



- Sept 11 2010
- DePuy ASR™ THR withdrawn worldwide 26 Aug 2010
- 93,000 implanted
- 1 in 8 failed and been replaced at 5 years
- Tissue destruction
- Due to excessive wear
- Huge worldwide impact on artificial hip joints



Overview of lecture



- Tribology and biotribology
- Fundamentals of friction, wear and lubrication
- Focus on total hip replacement (THR)
- Metal-on-Polyethylene THR
- Metal-on-Metal THR
- Ceramic-on-Ceramic THR
- Compliant layer THR
- Research at Newcastle

